

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

----oo0oo----

RYAN M. HUCKINS, JR., an
individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC; and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-01492 WBS CSK

ORDER RE: BILL OF COSTS

----oo0oo----

The court granted summary judgment in favor of
defendant Amazon.com Services LLC on June 25, 2025. (Docket No.
17.) Judgment for the defendant was entered on June 25, 2025.
(Docket No. 18.) Defendant subsequently submitted a bill of
costs. (Docket No. 19.) Plaintiff objected on the ground that
defendant did not provide adequate evidentiary support. (Docket
No. 20.) Defendant then submitted a memorandum in support of its


1 bill of costs. (Docket No. 26.) Plaintiff has not responded to
2 that memorandum and the time to do so has passed. Defendant
3 claims itemized costs of (1) \$2,419.00 for the deposition
4 transcript of plaintiff, and (2) \$435.00 for the filing fee paid
5 in state court prior to removal, for a total of \$2,854.00.
6 (Docket No. 19.)

7
8 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) governs the
9 taxation of costs and provides that "costs -- other than
10 attorney's fees -- should be allowed to the prevailing party."
11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1). Such costs may include "[f]ees for
12 printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily
13 obtained for use" and "filing fee[s]." Kalitta Air L.L.C. v.
14 Central Texas Airborne Sys. Inc., 741 F.3d 955, 957-58 (9th Cir.
15 2013) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1920(1)-(6)) ("The general costs
16 statute [which] defines the term 'costs' as used in Rule
17 54(d)."). "Rule 54(d) creates a presumption in favor of awarding
18 costs to prevailing parties, and it is incumbent upon the losing
19 party to demonstrate why the costs should not be awarded."
20 Stanley v. Univ. of S. Cal., 178 F.3d 1069, 1079 (9th Cir. 1999).

21 After reviewing defendant's bill of costs and in light
22 of the fact that plaintiff has not objected, defendant's claimed
23 costs of \$2,854.00 will be taxed to plaintiff. See L.R. 292(c).

24 IT IS SO ORDERED

25 Dated: August 29, 2025


26 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28